

Application No. 10/817,555
Docket No. 2001U004.US-CON
Reply to Office Action Dated 03/10/2005

Remarks

Information Disclosure Statement

The Applicant herein may have been referring to WO 03/037938. However, given that this document was filed after the earliest priority date of the present Application, the Applicant does not submit this in an Information Disclosure Statement and believes that any intent to do so was in error.

Section 112, second paragraph, Rejection

The Examiner rejected claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as indefinite. The Applicant has amended Claim 10 so that the density now reads $-0.920-$.

The Applicant requests that this rejection be withdrawn.

Section 102 Rejection

The Examiner rejected Claims 1, 6-9, 11 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated over Follestad et al. (WO 00/50466). The Applicant traverses.

Claim 1 is amended by inserting the claim limitation derived from non-rejected claim 14 and the specification at paragraph [00123], the limitation now reading —further comprising isolating a polymer product having an Mw/Mn value of from 2 to less than 10 and an I_2 value of from 0.1 to 100 dg/min—.

The Applicant also submits a Terminal Disclaimer to US 6,828,394, which was used to reject Claims 1-15 under obviousness-type Double Patenting. Applicant contends that this overcomes the rejection to Claim 14.


Thus, the Applicant requests that this rejection be withdrawn.

Application No. 10/817,555
Docket No. 2001U004.US-CON
Reply to Office Action Dated 03/10/2005

It is submitted that the case is in condition for allowance. The Applicant invites the Examiner to telephone the undersigned attorney if there are any other issues outstanding which have not been presented to the Examiner's satisfaction.

April 4, 2005
Date

Respectfully submitted,


Kevin M. Faulkner
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 45,427

Univation Technologies, LLC
5555 San Felipe, Suite 1950
Houston, Texas 77056-2723
Phone: 713-892-3729
Fax: 713-892-3687